Determining the Optimal Neonatal Care for Preterm Infants in the Era of Personalized Medicine

Scott A. Lorch, MD, MSCE

The results of the Tor2rpido study¹ illustrate the challenges of identifying optimal treatments and care processes for patients in the era of personalized medicine. This study investigated an important area: the initial management of oxygen during the resuscitation of an infant. Oxygen delivery has changed from beginning at high oxygen concentrations to much lower concentrations and, in both cases, titrating the oxygen to the response of the infant. These policies stem from studies of term infants, which found improved outcomes and lower markers of oxidative stress in infants who received much lower oxygen concentrations to begin their resuscitation.^{2,3} These results are frequently applied to the resuscitation of prematurely born infants, even though the studies in this patient population provided less consistent results.4-6 Similar to a populationbased study from Canada,4 the results of the Tor2rpido study suggest that, in a subgroup of prematurely born infants, resuscitations that start with room air may be harmful. But which infants are they, and how could we identify infants for whom their initial physiology required a different process of care than infants born at term gestation?

This question highlights the heterogeneity of treatment responses that seemingly occurs for every therapy.^{7,8} Standard clinical trials, which divide a group of patients by using a random allocation to receive either a treatment or a control

treatment in parallel, identify the average treatment effect when applied to a population. When administered to a specific patient, however, this treatment may provide even greater benefit, or harm, depending on specific patient characteristics. This finding is part of the growing awareness of "personalized medicine." Best illustrated in oncology, personalized medicine operates under the theory that multiple factors influence how a patient responds to a specific treatment, allowing for a tailored approach to treatment.9 Although it is common for many oncology patients to receive therapies tailored to their specific characteristics, such personalization of treatment is much less common in other areas of pediatrics, such as neonatal care.

How should clinicians and scientists reconcile the inherent conflict between standard clinical trial methodology and personalized medicine practices? Ideally, before undertaking a therapeutic trial, we would understand the underlying biology of the process well enough to identify potential responders to the proposed therapy and then primarily study those patients. Probably more importantly, we should be able to identify those patients potentially at risk for harm when given the treatment. Unfortunately, we usually do not have the requisite knowledge about the underlying biology or genomics of the disease to identify such responders and nonresponders. In addition, many personalized medicine studies

Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, and the Center for Perinatal and Pediatric Health Disparities Research. The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics and Leonard Davis Institute for Health Economics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the author and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-2442

Accepted for publication Oct 14, 2016

Address correspondence to Scott A. Lorch, MD, MSCE, 3535 Market St, Suite 1029, Philadelphia, PA 19104. E-mail: lorch@email.chop.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The author has indicated he has no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The author has indicated he has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/ 10.1542/peds.2016-1452.

To cite: Lorch SA. Determining the Optimal Neonatal Care for Preterm Infants in the Era of Personalized Medicine. Pediatrics. 2017:139(1): e20162442

focus primarily on the genetics of the disease, ignoring other factors that may modify the outcome of a treatment, such as a patient's physical or social environment, which may have a tremendous influence on chronic diseases such as asthma; the fetal environment; or the widely variable clinical manifestations of diseases such as asthma or bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

The Tor2rpido study¹ illustrates 1 potential solution to this issue: defining a priori subgroups within the initial clinical trial design to allow for appropriate sampling of these groups through stratified randomized practices. A priori subgroups, however, require the following: (1) the knowledge that these subgroups may respond differently to the treatment; (2) the inability to recruit patients within these subgroups, especially for low-incidence diseases such as the extremely premature infant; and (3) the ability to study few subgroups because of sample size constraints. There are other innovative methods that have been underused in the pediatric and neonatal literature:

Improvements in personalized randomized controlled trials, also known as N-of-1 trials.⁸ N-of-1 trials randomize an individual to periods of time receiving either a treatment or a control intervention, monitoring responses to each intervention to understand a patient's response to a treatment. These studies allow for both the testing of a given set of treatments on a given patient and the explicit measurement of the heterogeneity of treatment effects when multiple N-of-1

studies are combined. There have been few uses of N-of-1 studies in the pediatric literature. Reviews of the adult literature find variable study designs and reporting¹⁰⁻¹² that should improve with recently published Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials guidelines regarding N-of-1 studies.¹³

New causal inference methods for observational data. The growing availability of big data sets, which include clinical and mechanistic information, allow for the examination of many modifiers of treatment effects. Although observational in nature, the growing application of such methods in pediatrics, such as propensity scores and instrumental variables approaches, provide stronger evidence of a causal link between treatment and outcome than traditional regression-based methods.

With the application of these innovative methodologies, clinicians will have new information to help determine the ideal processes of care to give a specific patient, optimizing their outcomes of care.

REFERENCES

- Oei JL, Saugstad OD, Lui K, et al. Targeted oxygen in the resuscitation of preterm infants, a randomized clinical trial. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(1):e20161452
- Saugstad OD, Ramji S, Vento M. Resuscitation of depressed newborn infants with ambient air or pure oxygen: a meta-analysis. *Biol Neonate*. 2005;87(1):27–34
- 3. Davis PG, Tan A, O'Donnell CP, Schulze A. Resuscitation of newborn infants

- with 100% oxygen or air: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2004;364(9442):1329–1333
- 4. Rabi Y, Lodha A, Soraisham A, Singhal N, Barrington K, Shah PS. Outcomes of preterm infants following the introduction of room air resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2015;96:252–259
- 5. Kapadia VS, Chalak LF, Sparks JE, Allen JR, Savani RC, Wyckoff MH. Resuscitation of preterm neonates with limited versus high oxygen strategy. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132(6). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/ content/full/132/6/e1488
- 6. Rabi Y, Singhal N, Nettel-Aguirre A. Room-air versus oxygen administration for resuscitation of preterm infants: the ROAR study. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(2). Available at: www. pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/128/2/e374
- 7. Vaidyanathan G. Redefining clinical trials: the age of personalized medicine. *Cell.* 2012:148(6):1079–1080
- Schork NJ. Personalized medicine: time for one-person trials. *Nature*. 2015;520(7549):609–611
- 9. Hamburg MA, Collins FS. The path to personalized medicine. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363(4):301–304
- Punja S, Bukutu C, Shamseer L, et al. N-of-1 trials are a tapestry of heterogeneity. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016;76:47–56
- 11. Li J, Gao W, Punja S, et al. Reporting quality of N-of-1 trials published between 1985 and 2013: a systematic review. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2016;76:57–64
- Gabler NB, Duan N, Vohra S, Kravitz RL. N-of-1 trials in the medical literature: a systematic review. *Med Care*. 2011;49(8):761–768
- Vohra S, Shamseer L, Sampson M, et al. CONSORT extension for reporting N-of-1 trials (CENT) 2015 Statement. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016;76:9—17

Determining the Optimal Neonatal Care for Preterm Infants in the Era of Personalized Medicine

Scott A. Lorch

Pediatrics 2017;139;; originally published online December 29, 2016;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-2442

Updated Information & including high resolution figures, can be found at:

Services /content/139/1/e20162442.full.html

References This article cites 12 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free

at: /content/139/1/e20162442.full.html#ref-list-1

/content/13//1/020102++2.1dif.intiiii/f01 list 1

Subspecialty Collections This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in

the following collection(s): **Evidence-Based Medicine**

/cgi/collection/evidence-based_medicine_sub

Fetus/Newborn Infant

/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub

Permissions & Licensing Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,

tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:

/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml

Reprints Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



PEDIATRICS[®]

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Determining the Optimal Neonatal Care for Preterm Infants in the Era of Personalized Medicine

Scott A. Lorch

Pediatrics 2017;139;; originally published online December 29, 2016;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-2442

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

/content/139/1/e20162442.full.html

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

